15 August 1975

MEXIBLE PORT The Rivestor of Control Intelligence

. Brand Views on DB/I Proposal to Pub Wild on ISS

1. The Board agrees with the DE/I that public spinion is an countiel fueter in national estimates and that USIA should got adequate discontinuation of approximate intelligence unterlain. We do not agree, however, that either of these objectives vegetre USIA membership on the IAC. At least from the point of view of HIE production, we are delicus over the value of formal WIA proceedation in the IAC meditivery.

2. The Beard's reasons are as follower

We do not believe that USIA representation on the IAC or USIA perticipation in KIK preparation is escential in order to impure that the unique intellligopee natorials are veves into Kills. But cally GIA but the other IAC agencies already get all Wili pells, etc., and verse than into their XIX contributions. Horower, we already our informally consult WIA or even invite WIA to participate as appropriate, and have done on.

mirror which led to the creation. CRI/WILL'S ented transition is to integrate and sym size schorlels produced by the root of the committee to most MSIA's special mode. We exection whether It is staffed or competent to participate so actively in the KIK process as to justify a role in the ING. It is cortainly not in a position to contribute in the sees way as O.D.

Moreover, we sue a risk that an independent rela for CLI/USIA in AIR production will further weeken the rate of OTH, which is still the major contributor to our XIX's. IIX now integrates WILL output late the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the contributions and could be used to do so more the Contral Intelligence Agency.

Date 22 TAN 9/ BRP 89-2

This document has been

approved for release through

eleased to National Archives
HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

25X1A

000248

copymade in HS (1) ory 17) from NO NE chung File

fully if considered measury. But we fear that
if USIA were admitted to the INC is until be difficult
to limit its participation to epocialized problems.
There is a real risk that case in the IAC, USIA would
full increasingly free to discuss a bread range of
political quartiess and to develop a specialized
estimates staff. Back a staff would almost certainly
language deplicate, rather than complement, the west
of SIR.

- is also question increasing the sign of an absorby unriedly IAO. At least so far as WE's are consecuted, there are already so may appealed represented that it is constinue difficult and authorouse to arrive at a joint view. In fact two of the present IAO medican (rBI and ANO) play only a limited role.
- 2. While it is beyond out purvious we four that electrication with the intelligence economity might interfere with Wilk's primary mission, foreign information. If IFIA became became as an intelligence agency, its own shilly to secure extlete shread end furnish views as to its objectivity might both be adversely affected.

 $(c,c)^{-1}(S^2)$

25X1A

In the sum, the real question is whether giving GEI/SEIA ancharation on the IAC would paralle it to contribute more to the intelligence community than it does already. In our view, we said the other IAC agreement are already deriving benefit from CEI/SEIA's intelligence output, and would not gain naturally by having it represented at the IAC level or participating directly in propagation of HIE's. From the standpoint of improving estimates, other agreement and as Transacry, Pederal Because Board, or even Communes and Agriculture could view at least as valuable a ratio in the IAC as UNIA.

A. Therefore, the Board believes that the original negative recommendation of ______ in his SEIA curvey remains wild, and that USIA cheeld not become on IAC number of this time.

Acting Assistant Sirostor
(Acting Assistant Siro

25X1A

25X1A